

## **The Seville Statement on Violence**

As its Constitution states "that since wars begin in the minds of men, it is in the minds of men that the defences of peace must be constructed," it is appropriate that UNESCO has addressed the belief that war is inevitable because it is part of human nature. Studies among various populations have found that this belief is held by about half of all young people (Granberg, 1975; Eckhardt, 1972; Wahlstrom, 1985; Adams and Bosch, 1987). And one study has shown that those who believe that war is part of human nature are less likely than others to take any action in favor of peace (Adams and Bosch, 1987).

In 1986, twenty leading scientists from around the world examined the relevant scientific data (Ramirez, Hinde and Groebel, 1987) and issued a Statement that the evidence does not show that war is part of human nature. Paraphrasing the UNESCO Constitution and the words of the anthropologist Margaret Mead, they concluded that "Just as 'wars begin in the minds of men', peace also begins in our minds. The same species who invented war is capable of inventing peace. The responsibility lies with each of us."

Whether there is a unique "human nature," a concept that has been challenged and dismissed by some commentators, is not addressed by the Seville Statement. However, the Statement does point out that the theory of evolution has been used to justify not only war, but also genocide, colonialism and suppression of the weak.

The text of the Seville Statement, reprinted below, has been widely disseminated throughout the world in many languages and adopted as policy by UNESCO and many leading scientific organizations (Adams, 1989, 1991).

### **SEVILLE STATEMENT ON VIOLENCE, SPAIN, 1986 (SUBSEQUENTLY ADOPTED BY UNESCO AT THE TWENTY-FIFTH SESSION OF THE GENERAL CONFERENCE ON 16 NOVEMBER 1989)**

Believing that it is our responsibility to address from our particular disciplines the most dangerous and destructive activities of our species, violence and war; recognizing that science is a human cultural product which cannot be definitive or all-encompassing; and gratefully acknowledging the support of the authorities of Seville and representatives of the Spanish UNESCO; we, the undersigned scholars from around the world and from relevant sciences, have met and arrived at the following Statement on Violence. In it, we challenge a number of alleged biological findings that have been used, even by some in our disciplines, to justify violence and war. Because the alleged findings have contributed to an atmosphere of pessimism in our time, we submit that the open, considered rejection of these mis-statements can contribute significantly to the International Year of Peace.

Misuse of scientific theories and data to justify violence and war is not new but has been made since the advent of modern science. For example, the theory of evolution has been used to justify not only war, but also genocide, colonialism, and suppression of the weak.

We state our position in the form of five propositions. We are aware that there are many other issues about violence and war that could be fruitfully addressed from the standpoint of our disciplines, but we restrict ourselves here to what we consider a most important first step.

**IT IS SCIENTIFICALLY INCORRECT** to say that we have inherited a tendency to make war from our animal ancestors. Although fighting occurs widely throughout animal species, only a few cases of destructive intra-species fighting between organized groups have ever been reported among naturally living species, and none of these involve the use of tools designed to be weapons. Normal predatory feeding upon other species cannot be equated with intra-species violence. Warfare is a peculiarly human phenomenon and does not occur in other animals.

The fact that warfare has changed so radically over time indicates that it is a product of culture. Its biological connection is primarily through language which makes possible the co-ordination of groups, the transmission of technology, and the use of tools. War is biologically possible, but it is not inevitable, as evidenced by its variation in occurrence and nature over time and space. There are cultures which have not engaged in war for centuries, and there are cultures which have engaged in war frequently at some times and not at others.

**IT IS SCIENTIFICALLY INCORRECT** to say that war or any other violent behaviour is genetically programmed into our human nature. While genes are involved at all levels of nervous system function, they provide a developmental potential that can be actualized only in conjunction with the ecological and social environment. While individuals vary in their predispositions to be affected by their experience, it is the interaction between their genetic endowment and conditions of nurturance that determines their personalities. Except for rare pathologies, the genes do not produce individuals necessarily predisposed to violence. Neither do they determine the opposite. While genes are co-involved in establishing our behavioural capacities, they do not by themselves specify the outcome.

**IT IS SCIENTIFICALLY INCORRECT** to say that in the course of human evolution there has been a selection for aggressive behaviour more than for other kinds of behaviour. In all well-studied species, status within the group is achieved by the ability to co-operate and to fulfill social functions relevant to the structure of that group. 'Dominance' involves social bindings and affiliations; it is not simply a matter of the possession and use of superior physical power, although it does involve aggressive behaviours. Where genetic selection for aggressive behaviour has been artificially instituted in animals, it has rapidly succeeded in producing hyper-aggressive individuals; this indicates that aggression was not maximally selected under natural conditions. When such experimentally-created hyper-aggressive animals are present in a social group, they either disrupt its social structure or are driven out. Violence is neither in our evolutionary legacy nor in our genes.

**IT IS SCIENTIFICALLY INCORRECT** to say that humans have a 'violent brain'. While we do have the neural apparatus to act violently, it is not automatically activated by

internal or external stimuli. Like higher primates and unlike other animals, our higher neural processes filter such stimuli before they can be acted upon. How we act is shaped by how we have been conditioned and socialized. There is nothing in our neurophysiology that compels us to react violently.

IT IS SCIENTIFICALLY INCORRECT to say that war is caused by 'instinct' or any single motivation. The emergence of modern warfare has been a journey from the primacy of emotional and motivational factors, sometimes called 'instincts', to the primacy of cognitive factors. Modern war involves institutional use of personal characteristics such as obedience, suggestibility, and idealism, social skills such as language, and rational considerations such as cost-calculation, planning, and information processing. The technology of modern war has exaggerated traits associated with violence both in the training of actual combatants and in the preparation of support for war in the general population. As a result of this exaggeration, such traits are often mistaken to be the causes rather than the consequences of the process.

We conclude that biology does not condemn humanity to war, and that humanity can be freed from the bondage of biological pessimism and empowered with confidence to undertake the transformative tasks needed in this International Year of Peace and in the years to come. Although these tasks are mainly institutional and collective, they also rest upon the consciousness of individual participants for whom pessimism and optimism are crucial factors. Just as 'wars begin in the minds of men', peace also begins in our minds. The same species who invented war is capable of inventing peace. The responsibility lies with each of us.

Seville, 16 May 1986

David Adams, *Psychology*, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT., U.S.A.

S.A. Barnett, *Ethology*, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

N.P. Bechtereva, *Neurophysiology*, Institute for Experimental Medicine of Academy of Medical Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Leningrad, U.S.S.R.

Bonnie Frank Carter, *Psychology*, Albert Einstein Medical Center, Philadelphia (PA), U.S.A.

José M. Rodríguez Delgado, *Neurophysiology*, Centro de Estudios Neurobiológicos, Madrid, Spain

José Luis Díaz, *Ethology*, Instituto Mexicano de Psiquiatría, Mexico D.F., Mexico

Andrzej Elias, *Individual Differences Psychology*, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

Santiago Genovés, *Biological Anthropology*, Instituto de Estudios Antropologicos, Mexico D.F., Mexico

Benson E. Ginsburg, *Behavior Genetics*, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT., U.S.A.

Jo Groebel, *Social Psychology*, Erziehungswissenschaftliche Hochschule, Landau, Federal Republic of Germany

Samir-Kumar Ghosh, *Sociology*, Indian Institute of Human Sciences, Calcutta, India

Robert Hinde, *Animal Behaviour*, Cambridge University, Cambridge, U.K.

Richard E. Leakey, *Physical Anthropology*, National Museums of Kenya, Nairobi, Kenya

Taha H. Malasi, *Psychiatry*, Kuwait University, Kuwait

J. Martin Ramirez, *Psychobiology*, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

Federico Mayor Zaragoza, *Biochemistry*, Universidad Autonoma, Madrid, Spain

Diana L. Mendoza, *Ethology*, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

Ashis Nandy, *Political Psychology*, Centre for the Study of Developing Societies, Delhi, India

John Paul Scott, *Animal Behavior*, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH., U.S.A.

Riitta Wahlstrom, *Psychology*, University of Jyväskylä, Finland

**(1414 words out of 1400 allowed)**

\*\*\*\*\*

## REFERENCES CITED

Adams, David. "The Seville Statement on Violence: A Progress Report," *Journal of Peace Research* 26, no. 2 (1989): 113-121. Available on Internet at <http://www.culture-of-peace.info/ssov/title-page.html> .

Adams, David. *The Seville Statement on Violence: Preparing the Ground for the Construction of Peace*, Paris: UNESCO, 1991.

Adams, David and Bosch, S. "The Myth that War is Intrinsic to Human Nature Discourages Action for Peace by Young People." In *Essays on Violence*. Sevilla: Universidad de Sevilla, 1987. Available on Internet at <http://www.culture-of-peace.info/myth/title-page.html>

Eckhardt, William. "Crosscultural Theories of War and Aggression," *International Journal of Group Tensions* 2, no. 3 (1972): 36-51.

Granberg, Donald. "War Expectancy and the Evaluation of a Specific War," *Conflict Resolution* 13, no. 4 (1975): 546-549.

Ramirez, J. Martin, Hinde, Robert A., and Groebel, Jo. *Essays on Violence*. Sevilla: Universidad de Sevilla, 1987.

"The Seville Statement on Violence," *American Psychologist* 45, no. 10 (1990): 1167-1168.

Wahlstrom, Riitta. "On the Psychological Premises for Peace Education," *Third European Conference of International Society for Research on Aggression*. Parma, Italy, 1985;

\*\*\*\*\*

*See also* Animal Behavior and Aggression; Culture of Peace; Human Nature Debate; United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO).